

TRIUMPHS Student Project Descriptions

F1. A Genetic Context for Understanding the Trigonometric Functions

In this project, we explore the genesis of the trigonometric functions: sine, cosine, tangent, cotangent, secant, and cosecant. The goal is to provide the typical student in a pre-calculus course some context for understanding these concepts that is generally missing from standard textbook developments. Trigonometry emerged in the ancient Greek world (and, it is suspected, independently in China and India as well) from the geometrical analyses needed to solve basic astronomical problems regarding the relative positions and motions of celestial objects. While the Greeks (Hipparchus, Ptolemy) recognized the usefulness of tabulating chords of central angles in a circle as aids to solving problems of spherical geometry, Hindu mathematicians, like Varahamahira (505–587), in his *Pancasiddhantika* [61], found it more expedient to tabulate half-chords, whence the use of the sine and cosine became popular. We will examine an excerpt from this work, wherein Varahamahira describes a few of the standard modern relationships between sine and cosine in the course of creating a sine table. In the 11th century, the Arabic scholar and expert on Hindu science Abu l-Rayhan Muhammad al-Biruni (973–1055) published *The Exhaustive Treatise on Shadows* (ca. 1021) [55]. In this work, we see how Biruni presents geometrical methods for the use of sundials; the relations within right triangles made by the gnomon of a sundial and the shadow cast on its face lead to the study and tabulation of values of the tangent and cotangent, secant and cosecant. Biruni also works out the relationships that these quantities have with the sines and cosines of the angles. However, the modern terminology for the standard trigonometric quantities is not established until the European Renaissance. Foremost in this development is the landmark *On Triangles* (1463) by Regiomontanus (Johannes Müller) [49]. Regiomontanus exposes trigonometry in a purely geometrical form and then applies the ideas to problems in circular and spherical geometry. We examine a few of the theorems that explore the trigonometric relations and which are used to solve triangle problems.

This project is intended for courses in pre-calculus, trigonometry, the history of mathematics, or as a capstone course for teachers. Primary Author: Danny Otero.

F2. Determining the Determinant

This project in linear algebra illustrates how the mathematicians of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries dealt with solving systems of linear equations in many variables, a complicated problem that ultimately required attention to issues of the notation and representation of equations as well as careful development of the auxiliary notion of a “derangement” or “permutation.” Colin Maclaurin (1698–1746) taught a course in algebra at the University of Edinburgh in 1730 whose lecture notes include formulas for solving systems of linear equations in 2 and 3 variables; an examination of these lecture notes [46] illustrate the forms of the modern determinant long before the notion was formally crystalized. In 1750, Gabriel Cramer (1704–1752) published his landmark *Introduction a l’Analyse des Lignes Courbes algébriques (Introduction to the Analysis of Algebraic Curves)* [25]. In an appendix to this work, Cramer tackles the solution of linear systems more systematically, providing a formula for the solution to such a system, today known as Cramer’s Rule. More significantly, he points out the rules for formation of the determinantal expressions that appear in the formulas for the solution quantities, using the term “derangement” to refer to the complex permuting of variables and their coefficients that gives structure to these expressions. These ideas reach maturity in an 1812 memoir by Augustin-Louis Cauchy (1789–1857) entitled *M’emoire sur les fonctions qui ne peuvent obtenir que deux valeurs égales et de signes contraires par suite des transpositions opérées entre les variables qu’elles renferment (Memoir on those functions which take only two values, equal but of opposite sign, as a result of transpositions performed*

on the variables which they contain) [23]. In this work, Cauchy provides a full development of the determinant and its permutational properties in an essentially modern form. Cauchy uses the term “determinant” (adopted from Gauss) to refer to these expressions and even adopts an early form of matrix notation to express the formulas for solving a linear system.

This project is intended for courses in linear algebra. Primary Author: Danny Otero.

F3. Solving a System of Linear Equations Using Ancient Chinese Methods

Gaussian elimination for solving systems of linear equations is one of the first topics in a standard linear algebra class. The algorithm is named in honor of Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777-1855), but the technique was not his invention. In fact, Chinese mathematicians were solving linear equations with a version of elimination as early as 100 AD. This project has the students study portions of Chapter 8 Rectangular Arrays in *The Nine Chapters on the Mathematical Art* [54] to learn the technique known to the Chinese by 100 AD. Then students will then read the commentary to Chapter 8 of *Nine Chapters* given by Chinese mathematician Liu Hui in 263 AD and be asked how his commentary helps understanding. The method of the *Nine Chapters* will be compared to the modern algorithm. The similarity between the ancient Chinese and the modern algorithm exemplifies the sophisticated level of ancient Chinese mathematics. The format of the *Nine Chapters* as a series of practical problems and solutions reinforces the concept that mathematics is connected to everyday life.

This project is appropriate for an introductory linear algebra class, and may be used in a more advanced class with appropriate choice of the more challenging exercises. Primary author: Mary Flagg.

F4. Investigating Difference Equations

Abraham de Moivre is generally given credit for the first systematic method for solving a general linear difference equation with constant coefficients. He did this by creating and using a general theory of recurrent series. While de Moivre’s methods are accessible to students in a sophomore/junior discrete math course, they are not as clear or straightforward as the methods found in today’s textbooks. Building on de Moivre’s work, Daniel Bernoulli published a 1728 paper in which he laid out a simpler approach, along with illuminating examples and a superior exposition. The first part of the project develops de Moivre’s approach with excerpts from original sources. The second part will give Bernoulli’s 1728 methodology, no doubt more attractive to most students. Ideally this project will help students understand and appreciate how mathematics is developed over time, in addition to learning how to solve a general linear difference equation with constant coefficients.

Sources include: De Moivre’s 1718 Doctrine of Chances, Daniel Bernoulli’s 1728 paper (translated) Observations about series produced by adding or subtracting their consecutive terms which are particularly useful for determining all the roots of algebraic equations.

This project is intended for courses in discrete mathematics. Primary Author: David Ruch

F5. Quantifying certainty: the p-value

The history of statistics is closely linked to our ability to quantify uncertainty in predictions based on partial information. In modern statistics, this rather complex idea is crystallized in one concept: the p-value. Understanding p-values is famously difficult for students, and statistics professors often have trouble getting their students to understand the rather precise nuances involved in the definition. In this project, students will work to build a robust understanding of p-values by working through some early texts on probability and certainty. These will include the Sir Ronald Fisher’s famous Statistical Methods for Research Workers, and will also cover earlier attempts that came very close to the modern concept, such as Buffon’s Essai d’Arithmtique Morale.

This project is intended for courses in statistics. Primary author: Dominic Klyve

F6. The Exigency of the Parallel Postulate

In this project, we examine the use of the parallel postulate for such basic constructions as the distance formula between two points and the angle sum of a triangle (in Euclidean space). Beginning with Book I of Euclid's (ca. 300 B.C.E.) *Elements* [32, I.47], we witness the necessity of the parallel postulate for constructing such basic figures as parallelograms, rectangles and squares. This is followed by Euclid's demonstration that parallelograms on the same base and between the same parallels have equal area, an observation essential for the proof of the Pythagorean Theorem. Given a right triangle, Euclid constructs squares on the three sides of the triangle, and shows that the area of the square on the hypotenuse is equal to the combined area of the squares on the other two sides. The proof is a geometric puzzle with the pieces found between parallel lines and on the same base. The project will stress the ancient Greek view of area, which greatly facilitates an understanding of the Pythagorean Theorem. This theorem is then essential for the modern distance formula between two points, often used in high school and college mathematics, engineering and science courses. During the Autumn Semester of 2013, PI Jerry Lodder used a preliminary version of this project in an upper divisional geometry course for secondary education majors and mathematics majors. Several students wrote that they had always thought of the Pythagorean Theorem as an equation in algebra, and for the first time, understood the geometry behind this theorem. Other students wrote that they liked geometry proofs, because they could see what was happening, and would cut squares out of construction paper to prove the Pythagorean Theorem for the high school classes that they would teach.

The project is designed for courses in geometry taken both by mathematics majors and secondary education majors. Primary Author: Jerry Lodder.

F7. The Failure of the Parallel Postulate

This project will develop the non-Euclidean geometry pioneered by János Bolyai (1802–1860), Nikolai Lobachevsky (1792–1856) and Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777–1855). Beginning with Adrien-Marie Legendre's (1752–1833) failed proof of the parallel postulate [58], the project will begin by questioning the validity of the Euclidean parallel postulate and the consequences of doing so. How would distance be measured without this axiom, how would “rectangles” be constructed, and what would the angle sum of a triangle be? The project will continue with Lobachevsky's work [9], where he states that in the uncertainty whether there is only one line through a given point parallel to a given line, he considers the possibility of multiple parallels, and continues to study the resulting geometry, limiting parallels, and properties of triangles in this new world. This will be followed by a discussion of distance in hyperbolic geometry from the work of Bolyai [43] and Lobachevsky [9]. The project will show that all triangles in hyperbolic geometry have angle sum less than 180° , with zero being the sharp lower bound for such a sum, as anticipated by Gauss [44, p. 244]. The project will continue with the unit disk model of hyperbolic geometry provided by Henri Poincaré (1854–1912) [66], and, following the work of Albert Einstein (1879–1955) [31], close with the open question of whether the universe is best modeled by Euclidean or non-Euclidean geometry.

This project is designed for courses in geometry taken both by mathematics majors and secondary education majors. Primary Author: Jerry Lodder.

F8. Richard Dedekind and the Creation of an Ideal: Early Developments in Ring Theory

As with other structures in modern Abstract Algebra, the ring concept has deep historical roots in several nineteenth century mathematical developments, including the work of Richard Dedekind

(1831–1916) on algebraic number theory. This project draws on Dedekind’s 1877 text *Theory of Algebraic Numbers*[29] as a means to introduce students to the elementary theory of commutative rings and ideals. Characteristics of Dedekind’s work that make it an excellent vehicle for students in a first course on abstract algebra include his emphasis on abstraction, his continual quest for generality and his careful methodology. The 1877 version of his ideal theory (the third of four versions he developed in all) is an especially good choice for students to read, due to the care Dedekind devoted therein to motivating why ideals are of interest to mathematicians by way of examples from number theory that are readily accessible to students at this level.

The project begins with Dedekind’s discussion of several specific integral domains, including the example of $\mathcal{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ which fails to satisfy certain expected number theoretic properties (e.g., a prime divisor of a product should divide one of the factors of that product). Having thus set the stage for his eventual introduction of the concept of an *ideal*, the project next offers students the opportunity to explore the general algebraic structures of a ring, integral domain and fields. Following this short detour from the historical story — rings themselves were first singled out as a structure separate from ideals only in Emmy Noether’s later work — the project returns to Dedekind’s own exploration of ideals and their basic properties. Starting only with his formal definition of an ideal, project tasks lead students to explore the basic concept of and elementary theorems about ideals (e.g., the difference between ideals and subrings, how properties of subrings and ideals may differ from the properties of the larger ring, properties of ideals in rings with unity). Subsequent project tasks based on excerpts from Dedekind’s study of principal ideals and divisibility relationships between ideals conclude with his (very modern!) proofs that the least common multiple and the greatest common divisor of two ideals are also ideals. The project closes by returning to Dedekind’s original motivation for developing a theory of ideals, and considers the sense in which ideals serve to recover the essential properties of divisibility — such as the fact that a prime divides a product of two rational integer factors only if it divides one of the factors — for rings like $\mathcal{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ that fail to satisfy these properties.

No prior familiarity with ring theory is assumed in the project. Although some familiarity with elementary group theory can be useful in certain portions of the project, it has also been successfully used with students who had not yet studied group theory. For those who have not yet studied group theory (or those who have forgotten it!), basic definitions and results about identities, inverses and subgroups are fully stated when they are first used within the project (with the minor exception of Lagrange’s Theorem for Finite Groups which is needed in one project task). The only number theory concepts required should be familiar to students from their K-12 experiences; namely, the definitions (within \mathcal{Z}) of *prime*, *composite*, *factor*, *multiple*, *divisor*, *least common multiple*, and *greatest common divisor*.

This project is thus suitable for use in either a general abstract algebra courses at the introductory level, or as part of a junior or senior level courses in ring theory. Primary Author: Janet Barnett

F9. Primes, divisibility, and factoring

Questions about primality, divisibility, and the factorization of integers have been part of mathematics since at least the time of Euclid. Today, they comprise a large part of an introductory class in number theory, and they are equally important in contemporary research. In this project, students investigate the development of the modern theory of these three topics. Looking briefly at the writings of Euclid and Fermat, we shall then turn to the primary focus of the paper – a remarkable 1732 paper by Leonhard Euler. This, Euler’s first paper in number theory, contains a surprising number of new ideas in the theory of numbers. In a few short pages, he provides for the first time a factorization of $2^{2^5} + 1$ (believed by Fermat to be prime), discusses the factorization of $2^n - 1$ and $2^n + 1$, and begins to develop the ideas which would lead to the first proof of what

we now call Fermat’s Little Theorem. In this work, Euler provides few proofs. By providing these, students develop an intimacy with the techniques of number theory, and simultaneously come to discover the importance of modern ideas and notation in the field.

This project is intended for courses in number theory. Primary author: Dominic Klyve

F10. The Pell equation in Indian Mathematics

The Pell equation is the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 - Ny^2 = 1 \tag{1}$$

where N is a non-square, positive integer. The equation has infinitely many solutions in positive integers x and y , though finding a solution is not trivial.

In modern mathematics, the method of solving the Pell equation via continued fractions was developed by Lagrange (1736–1813). However, much earlier, Indian mathematician made significant contributions to the study of the Pell equation and its solution. Brahmagupta (b. 598 CE) discovered that the Pell equation (1) can be solved if a solution to

$$x^2 - Ny^2 = k \tag{2}$$

where $k = -1, 2, -2, 4, -4$ is known. Later a method, a cyclic algorithm known in Sanskrit as *cakravāla*, to solve the Pell equation was developed by Jayadeva and Bhāskara II (b. 1114 CE).

This project is intended for a number theory course. While the project will touch on the Pell equation in modern mathematics, the main focus is on its solution in Sanskrit mathematical texts. This approach will not only familiarize the students with the Pell equation and how it can be solved, but also expose them to significant mathematical work from a nonwestern culture. *Primary authors: Toke Knudsen and Keith Jones.*

F11. The Greatest Common Divisor: Algorithm and Proof

Finding the greatest common divisor of two integers is a foundational skill in mathematics, needed for tasks from simplifying fractions to cryptography. Yet, the best place to look for a simple algorithm for finding the greatest common divisor is not in a modern textbook, but in the writings of the ancient Chinese and the *Elements* of Euclid in ancient Greece. In this project we will discover how the mutual subtraction algorithm evolved in ancient China, from an text dated ca. 200 BCE to the version in *The Nine Chapters on the Mathematical Art* [54] to the explanation of the algorithm by Liu Hui in 263 CE. We will then discover the algorithm of Euclid ca. 300 BCE and examine his careful proof. Parallel to the story of the development of the algorithm is a beautiful illustration of the history of proof. Proof in ancient China was not based on propositional logic, but on demonstrating the correctness of an algorithm. Euclid is the pioneer of logical proof, yet his proof has flaws when examined in the light of modern rigor. Therefore, the project finishes by explicitly stating the properties of integers assumed in the proof of Euclid, and justifying the correctness of Euclid’s iterative method using the power of inductive proof.

The project is suitable for a introduction to proof class, including junior level courses in algebra, discrete math or number theory. Primary Author: Mary Flag

F12. Determining Primality

One of the oldest problems in arithmetic is to determine whether a given number is prime. Thousands of years after it was first considered by Euclid, Gauss wrote “The problem of distinguishing prime numbers from composite numbers and of resolving the latter into their prime factors is known to be one of the most important and useful in arithmetic.” This project will lead students

through several mathematicians' attempts to solve this problem. Beginning with Euclid's proof of the infinitude of primes and his geometric approach to primes, we trace multiple approaches for finding or determining prime numbers. We shall examine approaches by Euler, Gauss, and Wilson.

This project could be suitable for use in a course (a) a number theory course, (b) a history of math class, (c) a capstone course for high school math teachers, or (d) a professional development unit for high school teachers. Primary authors: Diana White.

F13. Bolzano's Definition of Continuity, his Bounded Set Theorem, and an Application to Continuous Functions

The foundations of calculus were not yet on firm ground in early 1800's. Students will read from Bernard Bolzano's 1817 paper [7], in which he gives a definition of continuity and formulates his version of the least upper bound property of the real numbers. Students will then read Bolzano's proof of the Intermediate Value Theorem.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: David Ruch.

F14. Rigorous Debates over Debatable Rigor: Monster Functions in Introductory Analysis

Although the majority of concepts studied have already been encountered in the light of their first-year calculus courses, students in an introductory analysis course are now required to re-examine these concepts through a new set of powerful lenses. Among the new creatures revealed by these lenses are the family of functions defined by $f_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ for $x \neq 0$, $f_\alpha(0) = 0$. In the late nineteenth century, Gaston Darboux (1842–1917) and Giuseppe Peano (1858–1932) each used members of this function family to critique the level of rigor in certain contemporaneous proofs. Reflecting on the introduction of such functions into analysis for this purpose, Henri Poincaré (1854–1912) lamented in [66]: “Logic sometimes begets monsters. The last half-century saw the emergence of a crowd of bizarre functions, which seem to strive to be as different as possible from those honest [honnêtes] functions that serve a purpose. No more continuity, or continuity without differentiability, etc. What's more, from the logical point of view, it is these strange functions which are the most general, [while] those which arise without being looked for appear only as a particular case. They are left with but a small corner. In the old days, when a new function was invented, it was for a practical purpose; nowadays, they are invented for the very purpose of finding fault in our father's reasoning, and nothing more will come out of it.” Yet in [10], Émile Borel (1871–1956) proposed two reasons why these “refined subtleties with no practical use” should not be ignored: “[O]n the one hand, until now, no one could draw a clear line between straightforward and bizarre functions; when studying the first, you can never be certain you will not come across the others; thus they need to be known, if only to be able to rule them out. On the other hand, one cannot decide, from the outset, to ignore the wealth of works by outstanding mathematicians; these works have to be studied before they can be criticized.”

In this project, students come to know these “monster” functions directly from the writings of the influential French mathematician Darboux and one of the mathematicians whose works he critiqued, Guillaume Houël (1823–1886). Project tasks based on the sources [26, 27, 42, 48] prompt students to refine their intuitions about continuity, differentiability and their relationship, and also includes an optional section that introduces them to the concept of uniform differentiability. The project closes with an examination of Darboux's proof of the theorem that now bears his name: every derivative has the intermediate value property. The project thus fosters students' ability to read and critique proofs in modern analysis, thereby enhancing their understanding of current standards of proof and rigor in mathematics more generally.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: Janet Barnett

F15. An Introduction to Algebra and Geometry in the Complex Plane

In this project, we will study the basic definitions, as well as geometric and algebraic properties, of complex numbers via Wessel's 1797 paper *On the Analytical Representation of Direction. An attempt Applied Chiefly to Solving Plane and Spherical Polygons* [77], the first to develop the geometry of complex numbers.

This project is suitable for a first course in complex analysis, or a capstone course for high school math teachers. Primary authors: Diana White and Nick Scoville

F16. Nearness without distance

Point-set topology is often described as “nearness without distance.” Although this phrase is intended to convey some intuitive notion of the study of topology, the student is often left feeling underwhelmed after seeing this idea made precise in the definition of a topology. This project, will develop topology, starting with a question in analysis, into a theory of nearness of points that took place over several decades. Motivated by a question of uniqueness of a Fourier expansion [15], Cantor (1845–1918) develops a theory of nearness based on the notion of limit points over several papers beginning in 1872 and lasting over a decade [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. Borel then takes Cantor's ideas and begins to apply them to a more general setting, Finally, Hausdorff's (1868–1942) develops a coherent theory of topology in his famous 1914 book *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre* [45]. The purpose of this project is to introduce the student to the ways in which we can have nearness of points without a concept of distance by studying the works of Cantor, Borel, and Hausdorff.

This project is intended for courses in point-set topology or introductory topology. Author: PI Nick Scoville.

F17. Connectedness— its evolution and applications

The need to define the concept of “connected” is first seen in an 1883 work of Cantor (1845–1918) [20] where he gives a rigorous definition of a continuum. After its inception by Cantor, definitions of connectedness were given by Jordan (1838–1922) [52] and Schoenflies (1853–1928) [71], among others, culminating with the current definition proposed by Lennes (1874–1951) in 1905 [59]. This led to connectedness being studied for its own sake by Knaster and Kuratowski [5]. In this project, we will trace the development of the concept of connectedness through the works of these authors, proving many fundamental properties of connectedness along the way.

This project is intended for courses in point-set topology or introductory topology. Author: PI Nick Scoville.

F18. Construction of the Figurative Numbers

This project will be accessible to a wide audience, requiring only arithmetic and elementary high school algebra as a prerequisite. The project will open by studying the triangular numbers, which enumerate the number of dots in regularly shaped triangles, forming the sequence 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, etc. Student activities will include sketching certain of these triangles, counting the dots, and studying how the n th triangular number, T_n , is constructed from the previous triangular number, T_{n-1} . Further exercises will focus on tabulating the values of T_n , conjecturing an additive pattern based on the first differences $T_n - T_{n-1}$, and conjecturing a multiplicative pattern based on the quotients T_n/n . The triangular numbers will be related to probability by enumerating the number of ways two objects can be chosen from n (given that order does not matter). Other sequences of two-dimensional numbers based on squares, regular pentagons, etc. will be studied from the work of Nicomachus (ca. 60–120 A.C.E.) [63].

The project will continue with the development of the pyramidal numbers, P_n , which enumerate the number of dots in regularly shaped pyramids, forming the sequence 1, 4, 10, 20, 35, etc. Student activities will again include sketching certain of these pyramids, tabulating the values of P_n , conjecturing an additive pattern based on the first differences $P_n - P_{n-1}$, and conjecturing a multiplicative pattern based on the quotients P_n/T_n . The pyramidal numbers will be related to probability by counting the number of ways three objects can be chosen from n . Similar exercises will be developed for the four-dimensional (triangulo-triangular) numbers and the five-dimensional (triangulo-pyramidal) numbers. The multiplicative patterns for these figurate numbers will be compared to those stated by Pierre de Fermat (1601–1665), such as “The last number multiplied by the triangle of the next larger is three times the collateral pyramid” [60, p. 230f], which, when generalized, hint at a method for computing the n -dimensional figurate numbers similar to an integration formula.

This project is designed for a general education course in mathematics. Primary Author: Jerry Lodder.

F19. Pascal’s Triangle and Mathematical Induction

In this project students will build on their knowledge of the figurate numbers gleaned in the previous project. The material will be centered around excerpts from Blaise Pascal’s (1623–1662) “Treatise on the Arithmetical Triangle” [65], in which Pascal employs a simple organizational tool by arranging the figurate numbers into the columns of one table. The n th column contains the n -dimensional figurate numbers, beginning the process with $n = 0$. Pascal identifies a simple principle for the construction of the table, based on the additive patterns for the figurate numbers. He then notices many other patterns in the table, which he calls consequences of this construction principle. To verify that the patterns continue no matter how far the table is constructed, Pascal states verbally what has become known as mathematical induction. Students will read Pascal’s actual formulation of this method, discuss its validity, and compare it to other types of reasoning used in the sciences and humanities today. Finally, students will be asked to verify Pascal’s twelfth consequence, where he identifies a pattern in the quotient of two figurate numbers in the same base of the triangle. This then leads to the modern formula for the combination numbers (binomial coefficients) in terms of factorials.

This project is designed for a general education course in mathematics. Primary Author: Jerry Lodder.

F20. Investigations Into d’Alembert’s Definition of Limit

The modern definition of a limit evolved over many decades. One of the earliest attempts at a precise definition is credited to d’Alembert (1717 – 1783). Students will read his limit definition and his propositions on uniqueness and the product of limits. Students will formulate the modern definition of limit for sequences, and then explore modern proofs of d’Alembert’s limit proposition.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: David Ruch.

F21. An Introduction to a Rigorous Definition of Derivative

This project is designed to introduce the derivative with some historical background from Newton, Berkeley and L’Hôpital. Cauchy is generally credited with being among the first to define and use the derivative in a near modern fashion. Students will read his definition with examples from [24] and explore relevant examples and basic properties.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: David Ruch.

F22. Investigations Into Bolzano’s Formulation of the Least Upper Bound Property

Bernard Bolzano was among the first mathematicians to rigorously analyze the completeness property of the real numbers. This project investigates his formulation of the least upper bound property from his 1817 paper [7]. Students will read his proof of a theorem on this property. Bolzano’s proof also inspired Karl Weierstrass decades later in his proof of what is now known as the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: David Ruch.

F23. The Mean Value Theorem

The Mean Value Theorem has come to be recognized as a fundamental result in a modern theory of the differential calculus. Students will read from Cauchy’s efforts in [24] to rigorously prove this theorem for a function with continuous derivative. Later in the project students explore a very different approach some forty years later by mathematicians Serret and Bonnet [72].

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: David Ruch.

F24. Abel and Cauchy on a Rigorous Approach to Infinite Series.

Infinite series were of fundamental importance in the development of the calculus. Questions of rigor and convergence were of secondary importance early on, but things began to change in the early 1800’s. When Niels Abel moved to Paris in 1826, he was aware of many paradoxes with infinite series and wanted big changes. In this project, students will read from Cauchy’s 1821 *Cours d’Analyse* [13], in which he carefully defines infinite series and proves some properties. Students will then read from Abel’s paper [7], in which he attempts to correct a flawed series convergence theorem from Cauchy’s book.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: David Ruch.

F25. The Definite Integrals of Cauchy and Riemann

Rigorous attempts to define the definite integral began in earnest in the early 1800’s. One of the pioneers in this development was A. L. Cauchy (1789-1857). In this project, students will read from his 1823 study of the definite integral for continuous functions [24]. Then students will read from Bernard Riemann’s 1854 paper [70], in which he developed a more general concept of the definite integral that could be applied to functions with infinite discontinuities.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: David Ruch.

F26. Gaussian Integers and Dedekind’s Creation of an Ideal: A Number Theory Project

In the historical development of mathematics, the nineteenth century was a time of extraordinary change during which the discipline became more abstract, more formal and more rigorous than ever before. Within the subdiscipline of algebra, these tendencies led to a new focus on studying the underlying *structure* of various number (and number-like) systems related to the solution of various equations. The concept of a *group*, for example, was singled out by Évariste Galois (1811-1832) as an important algebraic structure related to the problem of finding all complex solutions of a general polynomial equation. Two other important algebraic structures — *ideals* and *rings* — emerged later in that century from the problem of finding all integer solutions of various equations in number theory. In their efforts to solve these equations, nineteenth century number theorists were led to

introduce generalizations of the seemingly simple and quite ancient concept of an integer. This project examines the ideas from algebraic number theory that eventually led to the new algebraic concepts of an ‘ideal’ and a ‘ring’ via excerpts from the work of German mathematician Richard Dedekind (1831-1916).

A key feature of Dedekind’s approach was the formulation of a new conceptual framework for studying problems that were previously treated algorithmically. Dedekind himself described his interest in solving problems through the introduction of new concepts as follows [30, p. 16]:

The greatest and most fruitful progress in mathematics and other sciences is through the creation and introduction of new concepts; those to which we are impelled by the frequent recurrence of compound phenomena which are only understood with great difficulty in the older view.

In this project, students encounter Dedekind’s creative talents first hand through excerpts from his 1877 *Theory of Algebraic Integers* [29]. The project begins with Dedekind’s description of the number theoretic properties of two specific integral domains: the set of rational integers \mathbf{Z} , and the set of Gaussian integers $\mathbf{Z}[i]$. The basic properties of Gaussian integer divisibility are then introduced, and connections between Gaussian Primes and number theory results such as The Two Squares Theorem are explored. The project next delves deeper into the essential properties of rational primes in \mathbf{Z} — namely, the Prime Divisibility Property and Unique Factorization — to see how these are mirrored by properties of the Gaussian Primes in $\mathbf{Z}[i]$. Concluding sections of the project then draw on Dedekind’s treatment of indecomposables in the integral domain $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$, in which Prime Divisibility Property and Unique Factorization both break down, and briefly consider the mathematical after-effects of this ‘break down’ in Dedekind’s creation of an *ideal*.

This project is intended for junior level courses in number theory, and assumes no prior knowledge of abstract algebra. Primary Author: Janet Barnett

F27. Otto Hölder’s Formal Christening of the Quotient Group Concept

Today’s undergraduate students are typically introduced to quotient groups only after meeting the concepts of equivalence, normal subgroups and cosets. Not surprisingly, the historical record reveals a different course of development. Although quotient groups implicitly appeared in Galois’ work on algebraic solvability in the 1830’s, that work itself pre-dated the development of an abstract group concept. Even Cayley’s 1854 paper in which a definition of an abstract group first appeared was premature, and went essentially ignored by mathematicians for decades. Permutation groups were extensively studied during that time, however, with implicit uses of quotient groups naturally arising within it. Camille Jordan, for example, used the idea of congruence of group elements modulo a subgroup to produce a quotient group structure [51, 50]. Thus, when Otto Hölder gave what is now considered to be the first “modern” definition of quotient groups in 1889, he was able to treat the concept as neither new nor difficult [47].

This project will follow the evolution of the concept of abstract quotient groups within the context of the work done by Jordan and others who paved the way for Hölder, and then examine Hölder’s own treatment of the quotient group concept.

This project is intended is intended for introductory courses in abstract algebra or group theory. Primary Author: Janet Barnett

F28. Roots of Early Group Theory in the Works of Lagrange

This project studies works by one of the early precursors of abstract group, French mathematician J. L. Lagrange (1736-1813). An important figure in the development of group theory, Lagrange made the first real advance in the problem of solving polynomial equations by radicals since the

work of Cardano and his 16th century contemporaries. In particular, Lagrange was the first to suggest a relation between permutations and the solution of equations of radicals that was later exploited by the mathematicians Abel and Galois. Lagrange's description of his search for a general method of algebraically solving all polynomial equations is a model of mathematical research that make him a master well worth reading even today. In addition to the concept of a permutation, the project employs excerpts from Lagrange's work on roots of unity to develop concepts related to finite cyclic groups. Through their guided reading of excerpts from Lagrange, abstract algebra students will encounter his original motivations while develop their own understanding of these important group-theoretic concepts.

This project is intended is intended for introductory courses in abstract algebra or group theory.
Primary Author: Janet Barnett

F29. The Radius of Curvature According to Christiaan Huygens

Curvature is a topic in calculus and physics used today to describe motion (velocity and acceleration) of vector-valued functions. Many modern textbooks introduce curvature via a rather opaque definition, namely the magnitude of the rate of change of the unit tangent vector with respect to arc length. Such a definition offers little insight into what curvature was designed to capture, not to mention its rich historical origins. This project offers Christiaan Huygens's (1629–1695) highly original work on the radius of curvature and its use in the construction of an isochronous pendulum clock. A perfect time-keeper, if one could be constructed to operate at sea, would solve the longitude problem for naval navigation during the Age of Exploration.

Amazingly Huygens identifies the path of the isochrone as a cycloid, a curve that had been studied intensely and independently during the seventeenth century. To force a pendulum bob to swing along a cycloidal path, Huygens constrains the thread of the pendulum with metal or wooden plates. He dubs the curve for the plates an evolute of the cycloid and describes the evolutes of curves more general than cycloids. Given a curve and a point B on this curve, consider the circle that best matches the curve at B. Suppose that this circle has center A. Segment AB became known as the radius of curvature of the original curve at B, and the collection of all centers A as B varies over the curve form the evolute. Note that the radius of curvature AB is perpendicular to the original curve at B. For an object moving along this curve, AB helps in the identification of the perpendicular component of the force necessary to cause the object to traverse the curve. This is the key insight into the meaning of curvature.

This project is intended is intended for courses in multivariable or vector calculus. *Primary Author: Jerry Lodder*

F30. A proof and application of Cotes's Theorem This goal of this project is to develop and prove a theorem due to English mathematician Robert Cotes (1682 – 1716). Because we are not aware of any proof of Cotes's Theorem from the pen of Cotes himself, the project follows a paper by Caspar Wessel (1745 - 1818) [77], a surveyor by trade who made significant contributions to mathematics.

This project is suitable for a first course in complex analysis, or a capstone course for high school math teachers. *Primary authors: Diana White and Nick Scoville*

F31. Cross Cultural Comparisons: The Art of Computing the Greatest Common Divisor

Finding the greatest common divisor between two or more numbers is fundamental to basic number theory. There are three algorithms taught to pre-service elementary teachers: finding the largest element in the intersection of the sets of factors of each number, using prime factorization

and the Euclidean algorithm. This project has students investigate a fourth method found in The Nine Chapters on the Mathematical Art [54], which is an important text in the history of Chinese mathematics that dates from before 100 A.D. This project asks students to read the translated original text instructions for finding the gcd of two numbers using repeated subtraction. Then students will be asked to compare this method with the other modern methods taught. Students will be led to discover that the Chinese method is equivalent to the Euclidean algorithm.

The project is well-suited to a basic algebra course for pre-service elementary and middle school teachers. Primary Author: Mary Flagg

F32. A Look at Desargues' Theorem from Dual Perspectives

Girard Desargues (1591 - 1661) is often cited as one of the founders of Projective Geometry. Desargues was, at least in part, motivated by perspective drawing and other practical applications. However, this project focuses on Desargues' Theorem from a mathematical point of view. The theorem, which today goes by his name, is central to Modern Projective Geometry. We'll, in fact, start with a modern statement of Desargues' Theorem in order to more quickly appreciate the elegant beauty of the statement [22]. Desargues' own proof of the theorem is, perhaps ironically, buried at the end of a treatise written by a student of his, Abraham Bosse [11, 38]. The primary focus of this project is to understand Desargues' proof of the theorem, that is, from a classical perspective. To achieve this goal we'll read his proof in Bosse, which will require a visit to other results of Desargues in his more famous work on conics [38, 74], to classical results of Euclid (ca. 300 B.C.E.) [32], and to a result of Menelaus (ca. 100 C.E.) which we find both in Desargues own colorful writings [38, 74] and in those of Ptolemy (ca. 100 C.E.) [75]. The project concludes with a view of Desargues' Theorem from a modern perspective. We'll use the work of Jean Victor Poncelet (1788 - 1867) [67] to reexamine Desargues' Theorem with the assumption that parallel lines meet at a point at infinity and with the principle of duality.

The development of the project is intended to both convey the geometrical content and help students learn to *do* math. It is meant to be accessible to students at the "Introduction to Proofs" level. Many of the exercises explicitly go through a read-understand-experiment-prove cycle. Some experience proving theorems in the spirit of Euclid would be helpful, but not absolutely necessary. A few optional exercises (whose answers could easily be found in a modern text) are left more open.

This project is designed for students in a Modern Geometry course or an Introduction to Proofs course. Author: Carl Lienert

F33. Completing the Square: From the Beginnings of Algebra This project provides a deep understanding of the standard algebraic method of completing the square, the universal procedure for solving quadratic equations, through the reading of selections from *The Compendious Book on Calculation by Restoration and Reduction* [2, 68], written in the ninth century in Baghdad by Muḥammad ibn Mūsā al-Khwārizmī (ca. 780-850 CE), better known today as al-Khwārizmī's *Rosen, Rashed*. At the same time, students will become acquainted with a sense of how algebraic problem solving was successfully carried out in its earliest days even in the absence of symbolic notation, thereby conveying the importance of modern symbolic practices.

Future high school mathematics teachers who will be responsible for teaching algebra courses in their own classrooms will be well served by working through this classroom module. It is also suitable for use in a general history of mathematics course as an introduction to the role of early Islamic era mathematics in the development of algebra as a major branch of mathematics, and is of value to instructors of higher algebra courses who are interested in conveying a sense of the early history of the theory of equations to their students. Primary Author: Danny Otero.

F34. Argands Development of the Complex Plane Complex numbers are a puzzling concept for todays student of mathematics. This is not entirely surprising, as complex numbers were not immediately embraced by mathematicians either. Complex numbers showed up somewhat sporadically in works such as those of Cardano, Tartaglia, Bombelli, and Wallis, but a systematic treatment of complex numbers is given in an essay titled Imaginary quantities: their geometrical interpretation by Swiss mathematician Jean-Robert (1768-1822) [4]. This project studies the basic definitions, as well as geometric and algebraic properties, of complex numbers via Argands essay.

This project is suitable for a first course in complex analysis, or a capstone course for high school math teachers. Primary authors: Diana White and Nick Scoville

Mini Project Descriptions

M1. [Babylonian numeration](#)

Rather than being taught a different system of numeration, students in this project discover one for themselves. Students are given an accuracy recreation of a cuneiform tablet from Nippur with no initial introduction to Babylonian numerals. Unknown to the students, the table contains some simple mathematics – a list of the first 13 integers and their squares. Their challenge is threefold: 1) to deduce how the numerals represent values, to work out the mathematics on the tablet, and to decide how to write “72”.

A small optional extension of the project asks students to compare the good and bad traits of several numeration systems.

This project is intended for “Math for the Liberal Arts” and Elementary Ed classes. Author: Dominic Klyve

M2. [Regression to the Mean](#)

Over a century ago, Francis Galton noted the curious fact that tall parents usually have children shorter than they, and that short parents, in turn, have taller children. This observation is the beginning of what is now called “regression to the mean” the phenomenon that extreme observations are generally followed by more average ones. In this project, students will engage with Galton’s original work on the subject [40], and build an understanding of the underlying causes for this sometimes non-intuitive phenomenon.

This project is intended for classes in Statistics, and would also be useful in a general education class on quantitative reasoning. Author: Dominic Klyve

M3. [The Derivatives of the Sine and Cosine Functions](#)

Working through the standard presentation of computing the derivative of $\sin(x)$ is a difficult task for a first-year mathematics student. Often, explaining “why” cosine is the derivative of sine is done via ad-hoc handwaving and pictures. Using an older definition of the derivative, Leonhard Euler [35] gives a very interesting and accessible presentation of finding the derivative of $\sin(x)$ in his *Institutiones Calculi Differentialis*. The entire process can be mastered quite easily in a day’s class, and leads to a deeper understanding of the nature of the derivative and of the sine function.

This project is intended for Calculus 1. Author: Dominic Klyve

M4. [Beyond Riemann Sums](#)

The purpose of this project is to introduce Fermat’s method of integration, in which he essentially uses Riemann sums, but allows the width of the rectangles to vary. Students work through Fermat’s text [62], with the goal of to better understanding the method of approximating areas with rectangles.

This project is intended for Calculus 2 classes. Author: Dominic Klyve

M5: [The origin of the Prime Number Theorem](#) as conjectured by A.M. Legendre [37].

M6-11. [How to calculate \$\pi\$](#)

Most students have no idea how they might, even in theory, calculate π . Demonstrating ways that it can be calculated is fun, and provides a useful demonstration of how the mathematics they are learning can be applied. This project will lead students through five ways to calculate π , any of which can be completed in one class period. For a capstone or honors course, an instructor may choose to have students learn each of these ways, and to compare their efficiency.

This project consists of six parts, studying the following calculation methods from the original sources [56, 3, 76, 6, 33]:

- M6. **How to calculate π - Archimedes (accessible to “Math for Liberal Arts Students”)**
- M7. **How to calculate π - Viète (for geometry and precalculus students)**
- M8. **How to calculate π - Leibniz (for Calculus 2 students)**
- M9. [How to calculate \$\pi\$ - Machin](#) In this mini-PSP, students rediscover the work of John Machin and Leonhard Euler, who used a tangent identity to calculate π by hand to almost 100 digits.
- M10. **How to calculate π - Buffon** This project explores Buffon’s clever experimental method to calculate π by throwing a needle on a floor on which several parallel lines have been drawn. It is available in two versions, as described below. Basic notions of geometric probability are introduced in both versions of the project.
 - M10.1 [How to calculate \$\pi\$ - Buffon’s Needle \(Non-Calculus Version\)](#) This version requires some basic trigonometry, but uses no calculus. It is suitable for use with students who have completed a course in pre-calculus or trigonometry.
 - M10.2 [How to calculate \$\pi\$ - Buffon’s Needle \(Calculus Version\)](#) This calculus-based version requires the ability to perform integration by parts. It is suitable for use in Calculus 2, capstone courses for secondary teachers and history of mathematics.
- M.11. **How to calculate π - Euler (for Calculus 2)**

M12–15. Gaussian Guesswork

Just prior to this 19th birthday, the mathematical genius Carl Friederich Gauss began a “mathematical diary” in which he recorded his mathematical discoveries for nearly 20 years. Among these discoveries is the existence of a beautiful relationship between three particular numbers: the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter (π), a specific value (ω) of the elliptic integral $u = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}$; and the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean of 1 and $\sqrt{2}$. Like many of his discoveries, Gauss uncovered this particular relationship through a combination of the use of analogy and the examination of computational data, a practice referred to as “Gaussian Guesswork” by historian Adrian Rice in his *Math Horizons* article “Gaussian Guesswork, or why 1.19814023473559220744 . . . is such a beautiful number” [69].

This set of four mini-projects, based on excerpts from Gauss’s mathematical diary [41] and other related manuscripts, will introduce students to the power of numerical experimentation via the story of his discovery of this beautiful relationship, while also serving to consolidate student proficiency of the following topics from the traditional Calculus II course:

- M12: Arc Length and the Numerical Approximation of Integrals
- M13: [Gaussian Guesswork: Elliptic Integrals and Integration by Substitution](#)
- M14: [Gaussian Guesswork: Polar Coordinates, Arc Length and the Lemniscate Curve](#)
- M15: [Infinite Sequences and the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean](#)

Each of the four mini-PSPs can be used either alone or in conjunction with any of the other three.
This project is intended for traditional Calculus II courses. Author: Janet Barnett

M16. The logarithm of -1

Understanding the behavior of multiple-valued functions can be a difficult mental hurdle to overcome in the early study of complex analysis. Many eighteenth-century mathematicians also found this difficult. This one-day project will look at a selection from the letters of Euler and Jean Le Rond D'Alembert [12], in which they argued about the value of $\log(-1)$. Their argument not only set the stage for the rise of complex analysis, but helped to end a longstanding friendship.

This project is intended for Complex Analysis classes. Author: Dominic Klyve

M17. Why be so Critical? Nineteenth Century Mathematical and the Origins of Analysis

The 17th century witnessed the development of calculus as the study of curves in the hands of Newton and Leibniz, with Euler transforming the subject into the study of analytic functions in the 18th century. Soon thereafter, mathematicians began to express concerns about the relation of calculus (analysis) to geometry, as well as the status of calculus (analysis) more generally. The language, techniques and theorems that developed as the result of the critical perspective adopted in response to these concerns are precisely those which students encounter in an introductory analysis course — but without the context that motivated 19th century mathematicians. This project will employ excerpts from Abel, Bolzano, Cauchy and Dedekind [1, 8, 13, 28] as a means to introduce students to that larger context in order to motivate and support development of the more rigorous and critical view required of students for success in an analysis course.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: PI Janet Barnett

M18. Topology from Analysis: Making the Connection

Topology is often described as having no notion of distance, but a notion of nearness. How can such a thing be possible? Isn't this just a distinction without a difference? In this project, we will discover the notion of nearness without distance by studying the work of Georg Cantor [15] and a problem he was investigating involving Fourier series. We will see that it is the relationship of points to each other, and not their distances per se, that is a proper view. We will see the roots of topology organically springing from analysis.

This project is intended for a course in point-set topology. Primary Author: Nick Scoville

M19. Connecting Connectedness

Connectedness has become a fundamental concept in modern topology. The concept seems clear enough— a space is connected if it is a “single piece.” Yet the definition of connectedness we use today was not what was originally written down. As we will see, connectedness is a classic example of a definition that took decades to arrive at. The first such definition of was given by Georg Cantor in an 1872 paper [15]. After investigating his definition, we trace the evolution of the definition of connectedness through the work of Jordan [53], Schoenflies [71], and culminating with the modern definition given by Lennes [59].

This project is intended for a course in point-set topology. Primary Author: Nick Scoville

M20. The Cantor Set before Cantor

A special construction used in both analysis and topology today is known as the Cantor set. Cantor used this set in a paper in the 1880s. Yet it appeared as early as 1875 [73] in a paper by the

Irish mathematician Henry John Stephen Smith (1826 - 1883). Smith, who is best known for the Smithnormal form of a matrix, was a professor at Oxford who made great contributions in matrix theory and number theory. In this project, we will explore parts of a paper he wrote titled On the Integration of Discontinuous Functions.

This project is intended for a course in point-set topology. Primary Author: Nick Scoville

M21. A compact introduction to a generalized Extreme value theorem

In a short paper published just one year prior to his thesis, Maurice Frechet [39] gives a simple generalization of what we might today call the Extreme value theorem. This generalization is a simple matter of coming up with “the right” definitions in order to make this work. In this mini PSP, we work through Frechet’s entire 1.5 page paper to give an extreme value theorem in more general topological spaces, ones which, to use Frechet’s newly coined term, are compact.

This project is intended for a course in point-set topology. Primary Author: Nick Scoville

M22. From sets to metric spaces to topological spaces

One of the significant contributions that Hausdorff made in his 1914 book Grundzüge der Mengenlehre (Fundamentals of Set Theory) [45] was to clearly lay out for the reader the differences and similarities between sets, metric spaces, and topological spaces. It is easily seen how metric and topological spaces are built upon sets as a foundation, while also clearly seeing what is “added” to sets in order to obtain metric and topological spaces. In this project, we follow Hausdorff as he builds topology “from the ground up” with sets as his starting point.

This project is intended for a course in point-set topology. Primary Author: Nick Scoville

M23. The closure operation as the foundation of topology.

The axioms for a topology are well established- closure under unions of open sets, closure under finite intersections of open sets, and the entire space and empty set are open. However, in the early 20th century, multiple systems were being proposed as equivalent options for a topology. Once such system was based on the closure property, and it was the subject of Polish mathematician K. Kuratowski’s doctoral thesis. In this mini-project, students will work their a proof that today’s axioms for a topology are equivalent to Kuratowski’s closure axioms by studying excerpts from both Kuratowski and Hausdorff.

This project is intended for a course in point-set topology. Primary Author: Nick Scoville

M24. Euler’s Rediscovery of e .

The famous constant e appears periodically in the history of mathematics. In this project students will read Euler on e and logarithms from his 1748 book *Introductio in Analysin Infinitorum*. They will use Euler’s ideas to justify the modern definition: $e = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/j)^j$.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: David Ruch.

M25. Henri Lebesgue and the Development of the Integral Concept

The primary goal of this project is to consolidate students’ understanding of the Riemann integral, and its relative strengths and weaknesses. This is accomplished by contrasting the Riemann integral with the Lebesgue integral, as described by Lebesgue himself in a relatively non-technical 1926 paper [57]. A second mathematical goal of this project is to introduce the important concept of the Lebesgue integral, which is rarely discussed in an undergraduate course on analysis. Additionally, by offering an overview of the evolution of the integral concept, students are exposed to the ways in which mathematicians hone various tools of their trade (e.g., definitions, theorems).

In light of the project’s goals, it is assumed that students have studied the rigorous definition of the Riemann integral as it is presented in an undergraduate textbook on analysis. Familiarity with the Dirichlet function is also useful for two project tasks. These tasks also refer to pointwise convergence of function sequences, but no prior familiarity with function sequences is required.

This project is intended for introductory courses in analysis (i.e., advanced calculus). Primary Author: Janet Barnett

M26. Generating Pythagorean Triples via Gnomons

This mini-PSP is designed to provide students an opportunity to explore the number-theoretic concept of a Pythagorean triple. Using excerpts from Proclus’ Commentary on Euclids Elements, it focuses on developing an understanding of two now-standard formulas for such triples, commonly referred to as ‘Plato’s method’ and ‘Pythagoras’ method’ respectively. The project further explores how those formulas may be developed/proved via figurate number diagrams involving gnomons.

- **M26.1 Generating Pythagorean Triples via Gnomons: The Methods of Pythagoras and of Plato via Gnomons**

In this less open-ended version, students begin by completing tasks based on Proclus’ verbal descriptions of the two methods, and are presented with the task of connecting the method in question to gnomons in a figurate number diagram only after assimilating its verbal formulation. *This version of the project may be more suitable for use in lower division mathematics courses for non-majors or prospective elementary teachers.*

- **M26.2 Generating Pythagorean Triples via Gnomons: A Gnomonic Exploration**

In this more open-ended version, students begin with the task of using gnomons in a figurate number diagram to first come up with procedures for generating new Pythagorean triples themselves, and are presented with Proclus’ verbal description of each method only after completing the associated exploratory tasks. *This version of the project may be more suitable for use in upper division courses in number theory and discrete mathematics, or in capstone courses for prospective secondary teachers.*

Although more advanced students will naturally find the algebraic simplifications involved in certain tasks to be more straightforward, the only mathematical content pre-requisites are required in either version is some basic arithmetic and (high school level) algebraic skills. The major distinction between the two versions of this project is instead the degree of general mathematical maturity expected. Both versions include an open-ended “comparisons and conjectures” penultimate section that could be omitted (or expanded upon) depending on the instructor’s goals for the course. The student tasks included in other sections of the project are essentially the same in the two versions as well, but differently ordered in a fashion that renders Version M 26.1 somewhat less open-ended than Version M 26.2.

M27. Seeing and Understanding Data

Modern data-driven decision-making includes the ubiquitous use of visualizations, mainly in the form of graphs or charts. This project explores the parallel development of thinking about data visually and the technological means for sharing data through pictures rather than words, tables, or lists. Students will have the opportunity to consider both the data and the construction methods along with impact that broadening access to data has had on social concerns. Beginning with the 10th century graph hand-drawn in a manuscript, students will experience woodcuts and plates used for printing data displays through to digital typesetting and dynamic online or video-recorded presentations of data. Early uses of bar chart, pie chart, histogram, line chart, boxplot, and stem-and-leaf plot are compared with modern thoughts on graphical excellence.

This project is intended for courses in statistics, and is also well-suited to use in courses for general education and elementary education audiences that treat graphical displays of data. Primary Authors: Beverly Wood and Charlotte Bolch.

M28. M28. Euler’s Calculation of the Sum of the Reciprocals of the Squares

This project introduces students to p -series via Nicole Oresme’s proof of the divergence of the harmonic series in his *Quaestiones super Geometriam Euclidis* [64], written in approximately 1350. We continue with Euler’s 1740 proof in “De summis serierum reciprocarum” [34] that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, via his infinite product formula for $\sin(s)/s$.

This project is suitable for a course in second-semester calculus where the student has been introduced to convergence and divergence of infinite series as well as the basics of power series. Primary Author: Kenneth Monks

M29. Braess’ Paradox in City Planning: An Application of Multivariable Optimization

On December 5, 1990, The New York Times published an article titled *What if They Closed 42nd Street and Nobody Noticed?* Two of the early paragraphs in this article summarize what happened:

“On Earth Day this year, New York City’s Transportation Commissioner decided to close 42d Street, which as every New Yorker knows is always congested. ‘Many predicted it would be doomsday,’ said the Commissioner, Lucius J. Riccio. ‘You didn’t need to be a rocket scientist or have a sophisticated computer queuing model to see that this could have been a major problem.’”

But to everyone’s surprise, Earth Day generated no historic traffic jam. Traffic flow actually improved when 42d Street was closed.”

This very counterintuitive phenomenon, in which the removal of an edge in a congested network actually results in improved flow, is known as Braess’ Paradox. This paradox had actually been studied decades earlier not by rocket scientists, but by mathematicians. In the 1968 paper “On a paradox of traffic planning” [14], Dietrich Braess described a framework for detecting this paradox in a network. In this project, we see how the examples he provides can be analyzed using standard optimization techniques from a multivariable calculus course.

This project is suitable for a course in multivariable calculus as well as a course in combinatorial optimization/network flows. Primary Author: Kenneth Monks

M30. Fermat’s Method for Finding Maxima and Minima

In his 1636 article “Method for the Study of Maxima and Minima” [36], Pierre de Fermat proposed his method of *adequality* for optimization. In this work, he provided a rather cryptic sounding paragraph of instructions regarding how to find maxima and minima. Afterwards, he claimed that “It is impossible to give a more general method.” Here, we trace through his instructions and see how it ends up being mostly equivalent to the standard modern textbook approach of taking a derivative and setting it equal to zero.

This project is suitable for a course in first-semester calculus where the student has been introduced to the limit definition of a derivative and the idea of optimization via critical points. Primary Author: Kenneth Monks

References

- [1] H. Abel, *Breve fra og til abel*, Festkrift ved Hundredearsjubiloet for Niels Henrik Abels Fødsel (C. Stømer E. Holst and L. Sylow, eds.), Jacob Dybwad, Kristiana, 1902.
- [2] Muḥammad ibn Mūsā al Khwārizmī, *The algebra of mohammed ben musa, translated and edited by frederic rosen*, Oriental Translation Fund, London, 1831.
- [3] Archimedes, *Measurement of the circle*, The Works of Archimedes (T. Heath, ed.), Cambridge University Press, 1897, pp. 219–222.
- [4] J. R. Argand, *Imaginary quantities: Their geometrical interpretation*, Translated by A.S. Hardy, New York, 1881.
- [5] Knaster B. and Kuratowski K., *Sur les ensembles connexes*, Fund. Math. **2** (1921).
- [6] J.L. Berggren, J. Borwein, and P. Borwein, *Pi: A source book*, Springer, 2004.
- [7] B. Bolzano, *Rein analytischer Beweis des Lehrsatzes, dass zwischen je zwey Werthen, die ein entgegengesetztes Resultat gewähren, wenigstens eine reelle Wurzel der Gleichung liege*, (1817).
- [8] ———, *Rein analytischer beweis des lehrrsatzes, dass zwischen je zwey werthen, die ein entgegengesetztes resultat gewhren, wenigstens eine reele wurzel der gleichung liege*, W. Engelmann, Leipzig, 1817.
- [9] R. Bonola, *Non-Euclidean geometry, a critical and historical study of its developments*, Dover Publications Inc., 1955, Translation with additional appendices by H. S. Carslaw, Supplement containing the G. B. Halsted translations of “The science of absolute space” by John Bolyai and “The theory of parallels” by Nicholas Lobachevski.
- [10] É. Borel, *Notice sur les travaux scientifiques*, Œuvres d’Émile Borel, vol. I, Paris, 1972, pp. 119–190.
- [11] Abraham Bosse, *Manière universelle de m. desargues, pour pratiquer la perspective par petit-pied, comme le géométral...*, Imprimerie de Pierre Des-Hayes, 1648.
- [12] R. Bradley, *d’Alembert and the Logarithm Function*, Leonhard Euler: Life, Work, and Legacy (R. Bradley and C.E. Sandifer, eds.), vol. 5, Elsevier, 2007, pp. 255 – 278.
- [13] R.E. Bradley and C.E. Sandifer, *Cauchy’s cours d’analyse: An annotated translation*, Springer, 2009.
- [14] D. Braess, *Über ein Paradoxon aus der Verkehrsplanung*, Unternehmensforschung **12** (1968), 258–268, English translation appears as the article “On a paradox of traffic planning, ” by D. Braess, A. Nagurney, and T. Wakolbinger in *The Journal Transportation Science*, volume 39 (2005), pp. 446450.
- [15] G. Cantor, *Über die Ausdehnung eines Satzes aus der Theorie der trigonometrischen Reihen*, Math. Ann. **5** (1872), no. 1, 123–132.
- [16] ———, *Über unendliche, lineare Punktmannigfaltigkeiten 1*, Math. Ann. **15** (1879), 1–7.
- [17] ———, *Über unendliche, lineare Punktmannigfaltigkeiten 2*, Math. Ann. **17** (1880), 355–358.

- [18] ———, *Über unendliche, lineare Punktmannigfaltigkeiten 3*, Math. Ann. **20** (1882), 113–121.
- [19] ———, *Über unendliche, lineare Punktmannigfaltigkeiten 4*, Math. Ann. **21** (1883), 51–58.
- [20] ———, *Über unendliche, lineare Punktmannigfaltigkeiten 5*, Math. Ann. **21** (1883), 545–586.
- [21] ———, *Über unendliche, lineare Punktmannigfaltigkeiten 6*, Math. Ann. **23** (1884), 453–488.
- [22] Rey Casse, *Projective geometry : An introduction.*, OUP Oxford, 2006.
- [23] A.L. Cauchy, *Mémoire sur les fonctions qui ne peuvent obtenir que deux valeurs égales et de signes contraires par suite des transpositions opres entre les variables qu’elles renferment*, Journal de l’École polytechnique **XVIIe cahier** (1815), no. tome X, 91–169.
- [24] ———, *Résumé des leçons données à l’École royale polytechnique sur le calcul infinitésimal*, Paris: De Bure, 1823.
- [25] G. Cramer, *Introduction à l’analyse des lignes courbes algébriques*, chez les frères Cramer et C. Philibert, 1750.
- [26] G. Darboux, *Mémoire sur les fonctions discontinues*, Annales scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale (2ème série) **4** (1875), 57–112.
- [27] ———, *Addition au Mémoire sur les fonctions discontinues*, Annales scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale (2ème série) **8** (1879), 195–202.
- [28] R. Dedekind, *Stetigkeit und irrationale zahlen*, F. Vieweg und sohn, Braunschweig, 1872.
- [29] ———, *Theory of algebraic integers*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996, First published in French 1877; English translation by John Stillwell.
- [30] ———, *Essays on the theory of numbers, translated by beman*, The Open Court Publishing Company, Chicago, (first published in German in 1888), English translation 1901.
- [31] A. Einstein, *Relativity, the Special and General Theory*, Henry Holt and Co., New York, 1920, Translated by R. W. Lawson.
- [32] Euclid, *The thirteen books of euclid’s elements*, Dover Publications, 1956.
- [33] L. Euler, *Investigatio quarundam serierum quae ad rationem peripheriae circuli ad diametrum vero proxime definiendam maxime sunt accommodatae, Nova acta academiae scientiarum Petropolitinae*, Reprinted in Opera Omnia (T. Heath, ed.), vol. 16.
- [34] ———, *De summis serierum reciprocarum*, Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae **7** (1740), 123–134, Also in Opera Omnia: Series 1, Volume 14, pp. 73 - 86. English translation available as E 41 at <http://eulerarchive.maa.org/>.
- [35] ———, *Institutiones calculi differentialis*, (1755).
- [36] P. Fermat, *Méthode pour la recherche du maximum et du minimum*, In Tannery, H. C. (Ed.) *Oeuvres de Fermat* **3** (1891), 121– 156, Gauthier-Villars.
- [37] ———, *Integration*, A Source Book in Mathematics (D.J. Struik, ed.), Harvard University Press, 1969, pp. 219–222.

- [38] J. V. Field and J. J. Gray, *The geometrical work of girard desargues.*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [39] M. Frechet, *Généralisation d'un théorème de Weierstrass*, C.R. Acad. Soi. **139** (1909), 848–850.
- [40] F. Galton, *Regression towards mediocrity in hereditary stature*, Journal of the Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland **15** (1886), 246–263.
- [41] C. F. Gauss, *Mémoire sur les groupes primitifs*, Mathematisches Tagebuch, 1796 - 1814, Biermann, Kurt-R. (editor). Ostwalds Klassiker der Exakten Wissenschaften [Ostwald's Classics of the Exact Sciences] 256 (Fifth ed.), Frankfurt am Main: Verlag Harri Deutsch, (2005).
- [42] H. Gispert, *Sur les fondements de l'analyse en France (à partir de lettres inédites de G. Darboux et de l'étude des différents éditions du "Cours d'analyse" de C. Jordan)*, Archive for History of Exact Sciences **28** (1983), 37–106.
- [43] J. J. Gray, *János Bolyai, non-Euclidean geometry, and the nature of space*, Burndy Library Publications. New Series, vol. 1, Burndy Library, Cambridge, MA, 2004, With a foreword by Benjamin Weiss, a facsimile of Bolyai's it Appendix, and an 1891 English translation by George Bruce Halsted.
- [44] M. J. Greenberg, *Euclidean and non-Euclidean geometries*, 4th ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 2008, Development and history.
- [45] F. Hausdorff, *Grundzüge der mengenlehre*, Leipzig, Von Veit, 1914.
- [46] B.A. Hedman, *An earlier date for cramer's rule*, Historia Mathematica **26** (1999), no. 4, 365–368.
- [47] O. Hölder, *Zurückführung einer beliebigen algebraischen gleichung auf eine kette von gleichungen*, Mathematische Annalen **34** (1889), 26–56.
- [48] J. Houël, *Cours de calcul infinitésimal*, Gauthiers-Villar, Paris, 1878.
- [49] B. Hughes and J. de Regiomonte, *Regiomontanus: On triangles: De triangulis omnimodis by johann müller, otherwise known as regiomontanus*, 1967.
- [50] C. Jordan, *Mémoire sur les groupes primitifs*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **1** (1873), 175–221.
- [51] _____, *Sur la limite de transitivité des groupes non alternés*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **1** (1873), 40 – 71.
- [52] _____, *Cours d'Analyse*, vol. 1, 1893.
- [53] _____, *Cours d'analyse de l'école polytechnique*, reprint of the 3rd ed., Gabay, Paris, 1991.
- [54] S. Kangshen, J.N. Crossley, and A. Lun, *The nine chapters on the mathematical art*, (1999).
- [55] E.S. (trans.) Kennedy, *Abu l-rayhan muhammad bin ahmad al-biruni. the exhaustive treatise on shadows*, Institute for the History of Arabic Science, Aleppo, Syria, 1976.
- [56] G. Le Clerc, *Essai d'arithmétique morale*, Appendix to Histoire naturelle générale et particulière **4** (1777).

- [57] H. Lebesgue, *Sur le développement de la notion d'intégrale*, Matematisk Tidsskrift B. (1926).
- [58] A.-M. Legendre, *Éléments de Géométrie, avec des Notes*, 3rd ed., Firmin Didot, Paris, 1794 ed.), Hermann, A., Paris, 1830.
- [59] N. J. Lennes, *Curves in Non-Metrical Analysis Situs with an Application in the Calculus of Variations*, Amer. J. Math. **33** (1911), no. 1-4, 287–326.
- [60] M. S. Mahoney, *The mathematical career of Pierre de Fermat, 1601–1665*, second ed., Princeton Paperbacks, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.
- [61] O. Neugebauer and D. Pingree, *The pañcasiddhantika of varahamihira, 2 parts*, Edited and translated (1970).
- [62] I. Newton, *Method of Fluxions*, Mathematical Works of Isaac Newton (D. T. Whiteside, ed.), vol. 1, Springer, New York and London, 1964, pp. 29–139.
- [63] Nicomachus, *Introduction to Arithmetic*, Great Books of the Western World (Adler, M., ed.), vol. 11, Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc., Chicago, 1991.
- [64] N. Oresme, *Quaestiones super geometriam euclidis*, c. 1350. English translation by H. L. L. Busard, Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1961.
- [65] B. Pascal, *Treatise on the Arithmetical Triangle*, Great Books of the Western World (Adler, M., ed.), vol. 30, Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc., Chicago, 1991.
- [66] H. Poincaré, *Oeuvres*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1916–1956.
- [67] Victor Poncelet, *Traité des propriétés projectives des figures*, Imprimeur de la Société des Sciences, Lettres et Arts de Metz, 1822.
- [68] Rushdi Rashid, *Al-khwarizmi: the beginnings of algebra*, Saqi, London, 2009.
- [69] A. Rice, *Gaussian guesswork, or why 1.19814023473559220744... is such a beautiful number*, Math Horizons **November** (2009), 12 – 15.
- [70] B. Riemann, *Über die darstellbarkeit einer function durch eine trigonometrische reihe. habilitationsschrift*, Universität Göttingen, 1854.
- [71] A. Schoenflies, *Beiträge zur Theorie der Punktmengen I*, Math. Ann. **58** (1904), 195–238.
- [72] J. Serret, *Cours de calcul infinitésimal*, Paris, 1868.
- [73] H.J.S. Smith, *On the Integration of Discontinuous Functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **6** (1875), no. 1, 140–153.
- [74] René Taton, *L'œuvre mathématique de g. desargues*, Institut Interdisciplinaire d'Etudes Epistémologiques, 1988.
- [75] G. J. Toomer, *Ptolemy's almagest*, Princeton University Press, 1984.
- [76] F. Viète, *Variorum de rebus mathematicis responsorum, liber VIII* (1593).
- [77] C. Wessel, *On the analytical representation of direction: An attempt applied chiefly to solving plane and spherical polygons*, Copenhagen: The Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters (1999).